Outcomes Following Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: A Comparison Between Hospitals With and Without Cardiac Surgery On-Site

Ather Anis, Sharon-Lise T. Normand, Robert E. Wolf, Ann Lovett, Laura Mauri, Neal Patel, Alice K. Jacobs

Boston University Medical Center Harvard Medical School & Harvard School of Public Health Boston, MA





Disclosures

- This study was funded by the Massachusetts Department of Public Health contract
 620022A4PRE (Dr. Normand, A. Lovett, and R.E. Wolf).
- There are no relevant RWI to disclose. However, four of the authors (Anis, Mauri, Patel, Jacobs) perform primary PCI at hospitals with cardiac surgery on-site.

Background

- Primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) is the preferred reperfusion strategy. It is an ACC/AHA guidelines Class I indication if the procedure can be performed with a door-to-balloon time of within 90 minutes.
- The majority of STEMI patients present to hospitals without primary PCI capability. In many states including Massachusetts, the performance of PCI is not allowed in Cardiac Catheterization Laboratories within hospitals without cardiac surgery on-site.
- To increase the number of STEMI patients with timely access to primary PCI, in 1997 the Massachusetts Department of Health approved a pilot program for primary PCI at hospitals without cardiac surgery on-site.

Aim

 To determine the safety and effectiveness of primary PCI for STEMI performed at hospitals with in comparison to without cardiac surgery on-site (SOS) in Massachusetts

Methods



Patient, Clinical, Angiographic, Procedural factors collected within ACC NCDR instrument

Data reported to Massachusetts Data Analysis Center (Mass-DAC), state DPH mandated and physician-audited database

Mortality: Hospital Record, MA Registry of Vital Records & Statistics, National Death Index, Social Security website

Myocardial Infarction and Revascularization: Mass-DAC PCI and Mass-DAC CABG registries merged with hospital billing

Statistical Methods

Propensity score matching:

 Logistic regression to predict treatment at No SOS Hospital (versus SOS Hospital) by demographic, clinical, and angiographic variables

Caliper matching of 1 No SOS patient to 2 SOS patients

Primary outcomes presented as hazard ratios at 30-days and at one-year for:

- ✓ All-cause mortality
- Myocardial infarction (MI)
- Repeat revascularization (RR)
- Target vessel revascularization (TVR)

Requirements for Primary PCI at No SOS Hospitals

- Signed Collaboration Agreement with Tertiary Hospital (24/7 back-up support, accept transfers)
- Training
- CPORT team training of all staff
- Ongoing training by Tertiary Hospital
- Procedural Volume
 - Hospital perform minimum 300 diagnostic procedures in each of previous 2 years
 - 36 primary PCI procedures/year
 - Physicians perform minimum 75 PCI procedures/year
- Data Collection
- Joint Quality Assurance Committee



Differences in Characteristics Before Match No SOS SOS % Stand Diff (n 977) (n=2041) Race (%) White 90.28 10.58 86.92 **Black** 1.02 3.67 - 17.57 1.54 3.87 - 14.43 **Hispanic** Insurance (%)

Commercial	14.84	18.72	- 10.38
НМО	41.66	32.97	18.02

Differences in Characteristics Before Match

	No SOS	SOS	% Stand	
	(n=977)	(n=2041)	Diff	
Hypertension (%)	56.19	61.98	- 11.79	
Triple vessel Disease (%)	26.9	21.6	12.41	
II/b/IIIa platelet antagonist (%)*	92.43	84.57	24.81	

* Not included in propensity score model

Patient Characteristics After Match

	No SOS	SOS	% Stand
	(n=781)	(n=1562)	Diff*
Mean age (yrs)	62.7	63.0	- 0.02
Female (%)	30.57	29.65	2.01
Insurance (%)			
Commercial	17.17	16.17	2.70
Government	40.80	40.89	- 0.17
НМО	36.78	38.20	- 2.93
None	5.24	4.62	2.86

Patient Characteristics After Match

	No SOS	SOS	% Stand
	(n=781)	(n=1562)	Diff*
Race (%)			
White	91.72	91.14	2.08
Black	1.22	1.06	1.48
Hispanic	1.83	1.75	0.60
Other	5.24	6.05	- 3.54

Clinical Characteristics After Match			
	No SOS (n=781)	SOS (n=1562)	% Stand Diff*
Diabetes (%)	19.73	19.48	0.65
Hypertension (%)	58.59	59.11	- 1.07
Current Smoker (%)	37.76	35.77	4.13
Prior MI (%)	15.59	17.17	- 4.26
Prior PCI (%)	13.89	14.17	- 0.82
Prior CABG (%)	3.65	4.24	- 3.03

Clinical Characteristics After Match			
	No SOS	SOS	% Stand
	(n=781)	(n=1562)	Diff*
Symptoms < 6 hours (%)	85.87	86.27	- 1.14
CHF (%)	4.39	5.06	- 3.16
Cerebrovasc Disease (%)	6.70	6.93	- 0.91
PVD (%)	9.14	9.30	- 0.57
Renal Failure (%)	3.17	3.37	- 1.15
Shock (%)	5.24	5.68	- 1.95

Angiographic and Procedural Characteristics After Match

	No SOS	SOS	% Stand
	(n=781)	(n=1562)	Diff
Triple vessel Disease (%)	24.24	23.91	0.77
Left Main Disease (%)	3.65	4.74	- 5.43
Target Lesion LAD (%)	38.61	37.58	2.13
Target Lesion SVG (%)	2.44	2.31	- 0.83

Death and MI at 30-Days and 1-Year

Death

Myocardial Infarction



Revascularization at 30-Days and 1-Year



Hazard Ratios for the Primary Outcomes at 1 Year



Conclusions

In STEMI patients treated with primary PCI in No SOS compared to SOS Hospitals in Massachusetts:

- There is no difference in mortality at 30-days and 1-year.
- There is no difference in the incidence of MI at 1-year.
- There is a significant increase in the rate of repeat revascularization at 30-days and 1-year in No SOS Hospitals.
- There is no difference in the incidence of TVR at 30-days and 1-year.